

ACTION SHEET

DATE

TO:	s 7(2)(f)(ii)	FOR ACTION / INFORMATION	29.4.15
		FOR ACTION / INFORMATION	29.4.15
		FOR ACTION / INFORMATION	29.4.15
		FOR ACTION / INFORMATION	29.4.15

FROM: Ngā Poutiriao o Mauao (Mauao Joint Administration Board) 7.4.15

6. **Archaeological Report, Scoping Report, and Engagement Plan – Review of the Mauao Historic Reserve Management Plan (MRMP)**

s 7(2)(f)(ii) advised that the report writer, s 7(2)(f)(ii) was unable to attend the meeting and provided an outline of the report.

s 7(2)(f)(ii) provided an outline of the draft engagement plan.

Key Points

- Key considerations were:
 - Erosion due to
 - Weather events
 - Rabbits
 - Planning and management
 - Stock management
 - Fencing across historical sites
- The draft engagement plan included a number of avenues for communication, methods for seeking feedback and how to target both high-interest groups and the wider community.
- Recognised that Mauao was a significant community asset.
- The draft engagement plan was deliberately 'ambitious' and it was recognised that a more narrow approach pertaining to the 12 key focus areas might be more appropriate.

Points Raised by Members

- Comprehensive work had been undertaken by s 7(2)(f)(ii) for the 2003 report and today's report was purposefully less detailed.
- As all the requested reports had been received, the next stage of the Mauao Reserve Management Plan (MRMP) review could accordingly commence.
- There was no signage drawing attention to the significance of Mauao as a historical reserve.
- Mauao Trust supported the Board taking responsibility for the review of the MRMP.
- Both written and face-to-face communication should form part of the engagement plan.
- Recognised the need to consider cost aspects of the engagement plan.
- Suggested a formal launch of review to recognise the 'Celebration of Mauao'.

10.23 am s 7(2)(f)(ii) (TCC) **entered** the meeting.

Staff in Response to Questions

- The draft engagement plan was intended as a directive to Council staff, rather than being a public document.

s 7(2)(a) - Privacy Response to Questions

- Trees planted on archaeological sites could potentially cause damage.
- There had been some disagreement between archaeologists pertaining to the location of fencing.
- There were a number of management techniques in place to protect and preserve archaeological sites.

Action:

- Obtain information regarding the effectiveness of the rabbit control programme at Auckland Council
- Pg. 23 of the agenda, bullet 14 (Specialist Assessments), add “.., and previous relevant work”.
- Organise for Board to attend a meeting of the Mauao Trust regarding the Review.
- Develop a tailored engagement/communication plan for engagement with Mauao Trust to avoid any ‘surprises’.

Action By:

s 7(2)(f)(ii)

Action by When: Following the meeting

s 7(2)(f)(ii)

Action:

- s 7(2)(f)(ii) to meet with Mauao Trust prior to release of the Council agenda on 16 April for the meeting on 21 April 2015.

Action By:

s 7(2)(f)(ii)

Action by When: Prior to 16 April 2015**Moved:** Mr s 7(2)(f)(ii) / **Seconded:** s 7(2)(f)(ii)**That it be Resolved*****That the Ngā Poutiriao o Mauao – Joint Administration Board:***

- (a) Receives the report.***
- (b) Receives the archaeological assessment.***
- (c) Receives the draft engagement plan.***
- (d) Agrees to the Scoping Report prepared for the Mauao Trust and Council.***
- (e) Agrees to Council notifying the intent to review the Mauao Historic Reserve Management Plan and inviting written submissions.***

CARRIED