

148074 Redacted
Meeting Minutes
Mt Rock Removal Debrief

1st December 2003

File No: P260-1-10

Present:

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

Media:

1.

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

- well having 1 spokesperson and 1 co-ordinator
- Didn't go – no key message – what was it going to do to TDC
- Good Public Safety
- Access for Media
- Relationship with media has moved on – new level of trust between TDC and media.
- Good small CD exercise = contacts
- Surprised at how little Cr's took note and expressed any communications.
- Communication between Iwi and TDC.
- Friends of Mauao – could have done something with them. Consider them in communications plan.

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

- People turned away tourists, not knowing what was happening
- Good knowing what media were doing
- Fence was good (even for fire)
- Creating staging area at water tank.

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

- Should have similar briefing with Mayor and Cr's as we did for Media.
- Could have involved Iwi prior, more.

Outcome

- Hazard Communications – § 7(2)(f)(ii) to draft
- § 7(2)(f)(ii) off line all week – Comms team great support
- § 7(2)(f)(ii) – lots of behind scenes support.
- § 7(2)(f)(ii) – Speed of event was positive
- § 7(2)(f)(ii) – Access to expert = positive

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

Did we need Iwi Spokesperson?
We have to recognise protocols. Yes we should have

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

- Iwi didn't communicate with their people

§ 7(2)(f)(ii)

- Really Well
- Could have improved Iwi
- § 7(2)(f)(ii) did awesome

- Recognition of others roles in Council helped to clarify what her role could be in fire.
- Extra person as driver – to help her work thing out with [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]
- Regular briefings

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

- Not enough time together as team.
- Too many interruptions
- Between [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] didn't dislodge other appointments
- Difficult working with some TDC staff members
- Concern with double checking all the time, no where recording anything
- Need to clarify Ranger's role in future events.
- Ranger part of team not a driver.
- Positive abseiling over rock and summit
- Invoicing – EPO nightmare!
- Biggest positive was fence
- Security guards – little disappointed, but did a good job.

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

- Bigger picture for Council

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

Positive was Rangers shed
Liaison between [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] went well. Always up front.
Radios positive

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

Liked to have done more documentation

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

involving [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

OSH inspection went well
Positive 99% of the staff did a good job

[§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

H2O brillant for camp and hot pools. Pushed the line leaving when sites were clear, eg mowing lawns.

Room for improvement – [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)]

Action

- CSC need training in situations
- Nutech – not happy. Caravan should have been at Surf Club, guard at gate, one point in and out.
- Stuffed up with [§ 7(2)(a) - Privacy] – should have used him as subcontractor, not staff.
- Didn't clarify roles of [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] early enough. Realised need for extra driver.
- Reinforced [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] comments re number of people.
- [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] sat back and let them do more
- Didn't have time to work out plan. Put together fast but went well.
- [§ 7(2)(a) - Privacy] from Tonkin & Taylor to peer review
- [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] to get letter of thanks to [§ 7(2)(a) - Privacy]
- Right team doing the job
- [§ 7(2)(f)(ii)] needs to think about resource structure – administrative person.
- Lessons from last rock (March) was invaluable.
- Negative Comments with Adams Ave tenants and owners